

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/684,125	10/10/2003	Xiao Zhang	ROC920030245US1	2745	
46797 IBM CORPOR	7590 07/14/200 RATION, INTELLECT	EXAM	EXAMINER		
DEPT 917, BLDG. 006-1			MYHRE, JAMES W		
	AY 52 NORTH . MN 55901-7829	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,	3688			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/684,125		ZHANG, XIAO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JAMES W. MYHRE	3688	

	JAMES W. MYHRE	3688						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST FIL							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DTOL OOA)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (i	PTOL-324).					
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>								
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:								
	/Ja Supervisory Patent Exar	ames W Myhre/ niner, Art Unit 3688						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. For example, the Applicant argues that a free product is not the equivalent of a discounted product. However, the Examiner notes that a product with a 100 percent discount IS a free product. Likewise, a free product is a product with a 100 percent discount whether or not this 100 percent discount is free where a product is "free ware", does not change the fact the product is being offered at less than its retail value to the customer in Henson. As for the argument that it is not inherent that the product is being offered as all essential than the product is being offered at less than its retail value to the customer in Henson. As for the argument that it is not inherent that the discounted prices for each product as user and also discloses in Figure 6 that "Promotional offer and prices are for a limited time" in three different places. Thus, disclosing that the displayed prices are discounted/formomotional prices that inherently must have been determined in order to be displayed.